

## **Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations**

A complete review of the SPR site configurations, O&E modifications, and capacities, the state and Federal regulatory environment, and socioeconomic impacts initiated further evaluation of each site for particular issues as discussed above. It was ultimately determined that O&E modifications and site capacities, while different, were not significant under the CEQ criteria. As well, it was ultimately determined that the SPR sites not only operated within the state and Federal regulations and statutes, but, despite having been sited some twenty years ago, had achieved environmental excellence, and were also compliant with newer EOs regarding environmental justice and the protection of children. Relative to potential socioeconomic impacts, it was determined that variations in locales affected by SPR sites could not be attributed to significant influence exerted by the presence and/or operation of the SPR facility. Rather, analysis indicated that locales were primarily affected by systemic trends, not project-related influences.

The review as conducted resulted in a determination that the SPR currently operates within the scope of potential impacts evaluated in the original and supplemental EISs and EAs and that the RODs resulting from these are still valid and applicable to SPR operations. No further assessment is necessary and preparation of a new EIS or SEIS is not recommended. However, based on the assessment of oil storage capacities, a revised NEPA-Final Capacity Chart has been prepared and is provided in Attachment P.